PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

							i			-
From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	ORITY				REC'D	0	9 MAR 2005	
To:						P	CIP CIP		PCT	***************************************
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)						
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
International application No. International filing date PCT/GB2004/004754 10.11.2004			International filing date (10.11.2004	(da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.11.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08G61/12, C07F7/18, C07F7/08										
Applicant CAMBRIDGE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY LIMITED										
1.										
	⊠ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ⊠ Box No. II Priority									
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in					rd to novelty, inventive	ntive step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention										
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum								
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
2.	FURTHER ACT									
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.										
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							ı		
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.									
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.									

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Glanddier, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2606



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004754

	Вох	No.	Basis of the opinion			
1.	With the la	rega angu	ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		langu	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following uage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With	rega essar	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and y to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:					
	Г] a	sequence listing			
] ta	able(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	rmat	of material:			
	С] ir	written format			
	С] ir	n computer readable form			
	c. tir	ne o	f filing/furnishing:			
] c	ontained in the international application as filed.			
	[] fi	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.			
] f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		has copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional les is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.			
4.	4. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004754

		racenter (Manager vol. (Manager)							
	Box	No. II	Priority						
1.	. 🗵 The following document has not been furnished:								
	☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 66.7(a)).								
	☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).								
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.	4. Additional observations, if necessary:								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Sta	tement							
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	22-28, 30-34 1-21, 29, 35-39				
	Inventive step (IS)			Yes:	Claims	22-28, 30-34			
				No:	Claims	1-21, 29, 35-39			
	Indu	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-39			
2.	Cita	ations a	nd explanations						

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 318 163 (SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED) 11 June 2003 (2003-06-11)

D2: EP-A-1 143 538 (CHISSO CORPORATION) 10 October 2001 (2001-10-10)

1. Claims 1-14

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a polymer with repeating formula (1). In the case of R¹ being a phenyl group and R being cyano, keton or ester groups (page 12 last formula; page 13 first formula; page 13, line 25, first formula), the subject-matter of present application of claims 1-4 is not novel. The monomer of claims 5 and 6, the method of forming the polymer in claims 7-9 and its use in claims 10-14 are also disclosed (claims 13, 32).

2. Claims 15-21, 29, 35-39

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 15-21, 29 and 35-39 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a monomer (formula XVII page 9), X being a halogen and R hydrogen. The same monomer is claimed in the present application in claims 15, 16 and 29, as is the method of forming a monomer of formula VI or VII in claims 17-21 and 35-39.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004754

3. Claims 22-28, 30-34

D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 22-28 and 30-34 and shows a polymer exclusively linked in the 2- and 6-positions. The present application claims a polymer linked in the 3- and 6-position. There is no suggestion in D1 or the other prior art to change the position of the substitution in the polymer.

The subject-matter of claims 22-28 and 30-34 is therefore new and inventive (Article 33(2), (3) PCT).